

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,404	09/16/2003	Xiang-Dong Yin	70566-0016	9674
22902	7590 10/19/2006		EXAMINER	
CLARK &		JOYNER, KEVIN		
1090 VERMONT AVENUE, NW SUITE 250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			1744	
			DATE MAILED: 10/19/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				L		
-		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/662,404	YIN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kevin C. Joyner	1744			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	s		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DESIGNATION OF THE	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: te, cause the application to become ABAN	TION.  be timely filed  from the mailing date of this commun DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 S	September 2003.	•			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application	٦.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.				
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-17 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examin-	er.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	·			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached C	office Action or form PTO-18	52.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		ceived in this National Stag	e		
	application from the International Burea	* **	t al			
* ;	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not re	ceivea.			
Attachme	• •					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		nmary (PTO-413) Nail Date			
3) 🔲 Info	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		mal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/662,404

Art Unit: 1744

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-7, drawn to a steam on demand generator, classified in class
   422, subclass 292.
- II. Claims 8-12, drawn to a method of producing steam, classified in class422, subclass 26.
- III. Claims 13-17, drawn to a cup and cap assembly, classified in class 422, subclass 292.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of Group I and Group II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be used to practice another and materially different process. The apparatus can be used in a process where water is dispensed into a cup of a steam generator in a continuous fashion in order to generate steam on demand.

Inventions of Group I and Group III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as

Application/Control Number: 10/662,404

Art Unit: 1744

claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the subcombination requires a clamp assembly. The subcombination has separate utility such as being used in an apparatus generates heated purified liquids.

Inventions of Group II and Group III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be used to practice another and materially different process. The apparatus can be used in a process where water is dispensed into a cup of a steam generator in a continuous fashion in order to generate steam on demand.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,404

Art Unit: 1744

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Christopher Brody on 9/27/06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/662,404

Art Unit: 1744

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected

invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kevin C. Joyner whose telephone number is (571) 272-

2709. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gladys Corcoran can be reached on (571) 272-1214. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

GLADYS JP CORCORAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Application/Control Number: 10/662,404 Page 6

Art Unit: 1744

KCJ